

October 1, 1999

RECEIVED

OCT - 1 1999

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 86-440; File No. BPCT-860410KP; File No. BPCT-860410KQ

Dear Ms. Roman Salas:

The Association of America's Public Television Stations ("APTS") hereby notifies the Commission of the following <u>ex parte</u> filing regarding the above-captioned matter. We have enclosed fourteen (14) copies, along with a stamp and return copy which we would appreciate you returning to us.

Should any questions arise concerning this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Lonna Thompson

Director, Legal Affairs

Association of America's Public Television Stations

No. of Copies rec'd 2+13



October 1, 1999

Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 86-440; File No. BPCT-860410KP; File No. BPCT-860410KQ

Dear Commissioner Ness:

It has come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission is contemplating allotting and assigning television Channel 19 in Charlottesville, Virginia to a commercial applicant. This action would displace the Channel 19 translator operations of a noncommercial educational television licensee, Shenandoah Valley Educational Television, which provides important noncommercial programming and other public services to the local community of Charlottesville. Further, the displacement action would occur without affording the citizens of that community, as well as other interested parties, opportunity for notice or comment on the new channel allotment.

In filings before the Commission, Shenandoah Valley's counsel has ably documented the legal, procedural, and policy problems with the channel change request in the current proceeding. These problems arose because the commercial applicant, Charlottesville Broadcasting Company, has attempted to amend its pending application for channel 64 by substituting a petition for an allotment and an immediate construction permit for NTSC channel 19. Grant of the channel substitution would be without the benefit of the notice and comment period required for any change to the Table of Allotments in accordance with the Communications Act, Commission rules and the Administrative Procedure Act.

Commissioner Susan Ness October 1, 1999 Page 2

and reach of these services often depends on the integrity of the translator system. Surely, any potential changes to this system at the least should be prefaced by appropriate notice and an opportunity for public comment.

Sincerely,

David J. Brugger, President and CEO America's Public Television Stations

David Johnygor

cc: John I. Riffer, Esq.

Assistant General Counsel—Administrative Law Division

Office of General Counsel

Federal Communications Commission

James W. Shook, Esq.

Mass Media Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

Christopher J. Reynolds, Esq.

Counsel for National Radio Astronomy Observatory

Robert M. Gurss, Esq.

Counsel for APCO

James R. Bayes, Esq.

Counsel for Viacom

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq.

Counsel for Lindsay Television, Inc.

Jonathan Blake, Esq.

Counsel for Shenandoah Valley Educational Television Corporation Katrina Renouf, Esq.



October 1, 1999

PECEIVED

OCT - 1 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Chairman William E. Kennard Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 86-440; File No. BPCT-860410KP; File No. BPCT-860410KQ

Dear Chairman Kennard:

It has come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission is contemplating allotting and assigning television Channel 19 in Charlottesville, Virginia to a commercial applicant. This action would displace the Channel 19 translator operations of a noncommercial educational television licensee, Shenandoah Valley Educational Television, which provides important noncommercial programming and other public services to the local community of Charlottesville. Further, the displacement action would occur without affording the citizens of that community, as well as other interested parties, opportunity for notice or comment on the new channel allotment.

In filings before the Commission, Shenandoah Valley's counsel has ably documented the legal, procedural, and policy problems with the channel change request in the current proceeding. These problems arose because the commercial applicant, Charlottesville Broadcasting Company, has attempted to amend its pending application for channel 64 by substituting a petition for an allotment and an immediate construction permit for NTSC channel 19. Grant of the channel substitution would be without the benefit of the notice and comment period required for any change to the Table of Allotments in accordance with the Communications Act, Commission rules and the Administrative Procedure Act.



Chairman William E. Kennard October 1, 1999 Page 2

and reach of these services often depends on the integrity of the translator system. Surely, any potential changes to this system at the least should be prefaced by appropriate notice and an opportunity for public comment.

Sincerely,

David J. Brugger, President and CEO America's Public Television Stations

cc: John I. Riffer, Esq.

Assistant General Counsel—Administrative Law Division

Office of General Counsel

Federal Communications Commission

James W. Shook, Esq.

Mass Media Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

Christopher J. Reynolds, Esq.

Counsel for National Radio Astronomy Observatory

Røbert M. Gurss, Esq.

Counsel for APCO

James R. Bayes, Esq.

Counsel for Viacom

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq.

Counsel for Lindsay Television, Inc.

Jonathan Blake, Esq.

Counsel for Shenandoah Valley Educational Television Corporation

Katrina Renouf, Esq.



October 1, 1999

Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 86-440; File No. BPCT-860410KP; File No. BPCT-860410KQ

Dear Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth:

It has come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission is contemplating allotting and assigning television Channel 19 in Charlottesville, Virginia to a commercial applicant. This action would displace the Channel 19 translator operations of a noncommercial educational television licensee, Shenandoah Valley Educational Television, which provides important noncommercial programming and other public services to the local community of Charlottesville. Further, the displacement action would occur without affording the citizens of that community, as well as other interested parties, opportunity for notice or comment on the new channel allotment.

In filings before the Commission, Shenandoah Valley's counsel has ably documented the legal, procedural, and policy problems with the channel change request in the current proceeding. These problems arose because the commercial applicant, Charlottesville Broadcasting Company, has attempted to amend its pending application for channel 64 by substituting a petition for an allotment and an immediate construction permit for NTSC channel 19. Grant of the channel substitution would be without the benefit of the notice and comment period required for any change to the Table of Allotments in accordance with the Communications Act, Commission rules and the Administrative Procedure Act.

Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth October 1, 1999 Page 2

and reach of these services often depends on the integrity of the translator system. Surely, any potential changes to this system at the least should be prefaced by appropriate notice and an opportunity for public comment.

Sincerely,

David J. Brugger, President and CEO America's Public Television Stations

cc: John I. Riffer, Esq.

Assistant General Counsel—Administrative Law Division

Office of General Counsel

Federal Communications Commission

James W. Shook, Esq.

Mass Media Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

Christopher J. Reynolds, Esq.

Counsel for National Radio Astronomy Observatory

Rőbert M. Gurss, Esq.

Counsel for APCO

James R. Bayes, Esq.

Counsel for Viacom

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq.

Counsel for Lindsay Television, Inc.

Jonathan Blake, Esq.

Counsel for Shenandoah Valley Educational Television Corporation Katrina Renouf, Esq.



October 1, 1999

Commissioner Michael K. Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 86-440; File No. BPCT-860410KP; File No. BPCT-860410KQ

Dear Commissioner Powell:

It has come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission is contemplating allotting and assigning television Channel 19 in Charlottesville, Virginia to a commercial applicant. This action would displace the Channel 19 translator operations of a noncommercial educational television licensee, Shenandoah Valley Educational Television, which provides important noncommercial programming and other public services to the local community of Charlottesville. Further, the displacement action would occur without affording the citizens of that community, as well as other interested parties, opportunity for notice or comment on the new channel allotment.

In filings before the Commission, Shenandoah Valley's counsel has ably documented the legal, procedural, and policy problems with the channel change request in the current proceeding. These problems arose because the commercial applicant, Charlottesville Broadcasting Company, has attempted to amend its pending application for channel 64 by substituting a petition for an allotment and an immediate construction permit for NTSC channel 19. Grant of the channel substitution would be without the benefit of the notice and comment period required for any change to the Table of Allotments in accordance with the Communications Act, Commission rules and the Administrative Procedure Act.

Commissioner Michael K. Powell October 1, 1999 Page 2

and reach of these services often depends on the integrity of the translator system. Surely, any potential changes to this system at the least should be prefaced by appropriate notice and an opportunity for public comment.

Sincerely,

David J. Brugger, President and CEO America's Public Television Stations

David Brugger

cc: John I. Riffer, Esq.

Assistant General Counsel—Administrative Law Division

Office of General Counsel

Federal Communications Commission

James W. Shook, Esq.

Mass Media Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

Christopher J. Reynolds, Esq.

Counsel for National Radio Astronomy Observatory

Røbert M. Gurss, Esq.

Counsel for APCO

James R. Bayes, Esq.

Counsel for Viacom

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq.

Counsel for Lindsay Television, Inc.

Jonathan Blake, Esq.

Counsel for Shenandoah Valley Educational Television Corporation

Katrina Renouf, Esq.



October 1, 1999

Commissioner Gloria Tristani Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 86-440; File No. BPCT-860410KP; File No. BPCT-860410KO

Dear Commissioner Tristani:

It has come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission is contemplating allotting and assigning television Channel 19 in Charlottesville, Virginia to a commercial applicant. This action would displace the Channel 19 translator operations of a noncommercial educational television licensee, Shenandoah Valley Educational Television, which provides important noncommercial programming and other public services to the local community of Charlottesville. Further, the displacement action would occur without affording the citizens of that community, as well as other interested parties, opportunity for notice or comment on the new channel allotment.

In filings before the Commission, Shenandoah Valley's counsel has ably documented the legal, procedural, and policy problems with the channel change request in the current proceeding. These problems arose because the commercial applicant, Charlottesville Broadcasting Company, has attempted to amend its pending application for channel 64 by substituting a petition for an allotment and an immediate construction permit for NTSC channel 19. Grant of the channel substitution would be without the benefit of the notice and comment period required for any change to the Table of Allotments in accordance with the Communications Act, Commission rules and the Administrative Procedure Act.

Commissioner Gloria Tristani October 1, 1999 Page 2

and reach of these services often depends on the integrity of the translator system. Surely, any potential changes to this system at the least should be prefaced by appropriate notice and an opportunity for public comment.

Sincerely,

David J. Brugger, President and CEO America's Public Television Stations

cc: John I. Riffer, Esq.

Assistant General Counsel—Administrative Law Division

Office of General Counsel

Federal Communications Commission

James W. Shook, Esq.

Mass Media Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

Christopher J. Reynolds, Esq.

Counsel for National Radio Astronomy Observatory

Røbert M. Gurss, Esq.

Counsel for APCO

James R. Bayes, Esq.

Counsel for Viacom

Gene A. Bechtel, Esq.

Counsel for Lindsay Television, Inc.

Jonathan Blake, Esq.

Counsel for Shenandoah Valley Educational Television Corporation

Katrina Renouf, Esq.